

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**BEFORE THE  
CHIEF OF THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Administrative Allegation  
of Violation Against:

**Eric T. McIntyre**  
**Real Estate Appraiser License No. 028423**

Respondent.

Case No. L20241014-01

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Chief  
of the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on \_\_\_\_\_.

It is so ORDERED \_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Angela Jemmott  
Bureau Chief  
Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers

1 Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers  
2 3075 Prospect Park Drive, Ste 190  
3 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

4 Telephone: (916) 610-9893  
5 Facsimile: (916) 464-1030

6 **BEFORE THE**  
7 **CHIEF OF THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS**  
8 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

9 In the Matter of the Administrative Allegation of  
10 Violation Against:

11 **Eric T. McIntyre**  
12 **Real Estate Appraiser License No. 028423**

13 Respondent.

14 Case No. L20241014-01

15 **STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND**  
16 **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

17 In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of this matter, consistent with the public  
18 interest and mission of the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers (“Bureau” or “BREA”), the parties  
19 hereby agree to the following Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order (“Stipulated  
20 Settlement”) which will be submitted to the Chief of the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers  
21 (“Bureau Chief” or “Chief of BREA”) for approval and adoption as the final disposition of this  
22 proceeding:

23 PARTIES

- 24 1. Tinna Morlatt, acting on behalf of the Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers  
25 (“Complainant”), brings this action solely in his official capacity as Deputy Bureau Chief of  
26 Enforcement for Complainant.
- 27 2. Eric T. McIntyre (“Respondent”) has chosen not to exercise his right to be  
28 represented by counsel.
3. On or about October 31, 2001, Respondent was issued Real Estate Appraiser License  
number 028423. Respondent’s License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the  
attached administrative allegation of violation and, unless renewed, will expire on  
October 30, 2025.

1 JURISDICTION

2 4. The Bureau initiated an investigation resulting in administrative allegations of  
3 violation.

4 5. When deemed by the Bureau Chief to be in the public interest, the Bureau Chief has  
5 the authority under Business and Professions Code section 11315.5 to enter into a settlement  
6 related to administrative allegations of violation of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and  
7 Certification Law or regulations promulgated pursuant thereto, upon any terms and conditions as  
8 the Bureau Chief deems appropriate.

9 6. The administrative allegation of violation against Respondent is attached as Exhibit  
10 "A" and incorporated herein by reference.

11 ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

12 7. Respondent has carefully read and understands the charges and allegations in the  
13 administrative allegations of violation. Respondent has also carefully read and understands the  
14 effects of this Stipulated Settlement.

15 8. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a  
16 hearing on the administrative allegation of violation; the right to be represented by counsel at his  
17 own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to  
18 present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel  
19 the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and  
20 court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California  
21 Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

22 9. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and  
23 every right set forth above.

24 CULPABILITY

25 10. Respondent admits the truth of each and every administrative allegation of violation  
26 attached as Exhibit "A", and agrees that cause exists for discipline against his Real Estate  
27 Appraiser License.

28 \\\



1 **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent’s Real Estate Appraiser License No. 028423  
3 is revoked. However, the revocation of Respondent’s License shall be stayed, and Respondent’s  
4 License shall be publicly reprovved. Respondent’s License shall be monitored on probation for  
5 three (3) years from the effective date of the Decision and Order on the terms and conditions  
6 described below. If Respondent fails to comply with the terms and conditions of probation, as set  
7 forth below, the Bureau may revoke Respondent’s License.

8 **1. Payment of Fine.** Respondent shall pay a fine in the sum of Five Thousand Dollars  
9 (\$5,000.00). Respondent has the option of making:

10 (1) the entire payment within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the Decision and  
11 Order; or

12 (2) ten (10) payments of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500), with the first payment due thirty  
13 (30) days after the effective date of the Decision and Order and the remaining payments  
14 due every three (3) months thereafter.

15 Payment shall be made to the Real Estate Appraisers Regulation Fund, c/o Bureau of Real Estate  
16 Appraisers, 3075 Prospect Park Drive, Suite 190, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670, by check or  
17 money order and shall indicate on its face the notation: "BREA Case No. L20241014-01."

18 Respondent shall also submit a copy of the invoice with payment, which will be provided by  
19 BREA. If full payment is not received by BREA by the date due as noted in the invoice, a ten  
20 (10) percent late penalty shall be added to the unpaid balance and interest will accrue on the  
21 unpaid balance at the pooled money investment rate in effect at that time, until the full amount is  
22 paid. Respondent shall not be eligible to renew his license until such time as full payment of the  
23 outstanding costs have been made. Failure to timely reimburse the fine shall constitute a  
24 violation of the probationary order.

25 **3. Appraisal Log/Work Assignments.** Commencing on the effective date of the  
26 Decision and Order and continuing for the entire probationary period, Respondent shall maintain  
27 a log of all appraisal and appraisal reviews Respondent performs on an Enforcement Log of  
28 Appraisal Assignments form REA 4020 (“log”) provided by BREA. Respondent shall submit a

1 complete and accurate log listing all appraisal and appraisal reviews completed each six (6)  
2 months. If zero (0) to five (5) appraisal or appraisal reviews are completed, the log must still be  
3 completed indicating the work completed or indicating no work was completed. Each six (6)  
4 month log shall be submitted to BREA within thirty (30) days following the end of each six (6)  
5 month period. BREA may request a copy of any appraisal or appraisal review listed on any log  
6 and Respondent must provide the appraisal or appraisal review within the timeframe provided in  
7 BREA's request.

8 Failure to comply with the log requirements shall result in an automatic suspension of  
9 Respondent's real estate appraiser license. In order to reinstate Respondent's license, Respondent  
10 must provide BREA with the following: (i) a copy of past due log(s); (ii) completion and filing of  
11 a reinstatement application; and (iii) payment of all applicable fees, fines, or penalties.

12 Failure to complete a minimum of six (6) appraisal or appraisal reviews of California real  
13 property every six (6) months shall result in a tolling of Respondent's probation during the time  
14 of noncompliance. Periods during which probation is tolled shall not apply to reduction of the  
15 probationary period, suspension or any other disciplinary order or term.

16 **4. Monitoring.** Respondent shall be subject to, and shall permit and cooperate with,  
17 monitoring and investigation of Respondent's professional practice. Such monitoring and  
18 investigation shall be conducted by representatives of BREA.

19 **5. Monitoring Costs.** Respondent shall pay Four Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$450.00)  
20 every six (6) months for the entire probationary period to compensate BREA for monitoring  
21 costs. This includes periods when zero (0) to five (5) appraisal or appraisal reviews are completed  
22 and probation is tolled. Failure to pay monitoring costs shall be a violation of probation.

23 **6. Obey All Laws.** Respondent shall comply with all federal, state and local laws, the  
24 Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and Certification Law and regulations promulgated thereto,  
25 and all other laws and regulations pertaining to appraisal management companies. Additionally, if  
26 Respondent is subject to criminal court orders, Respondent shall comply with all criminal court  
27 orders, including probation.

28 \\\

1           **7. Comply with Probation.** Respondent shall fully comply with the terms and  
2 conditions of the probation imposed by the Chief of BREA and shall cooperate fully with  
3 representatives of BREA in its monitoring and investigation of Respondent's compliance with the  
4 terms and conditions of probation.

5           **8. Violation of Probation.** If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Chief of  
6 BREA, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and  
7 carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or a petition to revoke probation  
8 is filed against Respondent during probation, the Chief shall have continuing jurisdiction until the  
9 matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

10           **9. 30-Hour Basic Appraisal Principles.** Respondent shall take a BREA approved  
11 minimum 30-hour education course on Basic Appraisal Principles within twelve (12) months of  
12 the effective date the Decision and Order. Respondent must submit proof of successful  
13 completion of the course within twelve (12) months following the effective date of the Decision  
14 and Order. Respondent understands that it is his responsibility to ensure that the course meets all  
15 of the requirements listed above and to make all necessary and preparatory arrangements to take  
16 the course. Educational courses imposed as a term or condition of probation by the Chief of  
17 BREA may not be credited toward Respondent's continuing education requirements required for  
18 renewal of Respondent's real estate appraiser license. Failure to comply with the education  
19 requirements as contained in this Disciplinary Order shall result in an automatic suspension of  
20 Respondent's real estate appraiser license. In order to reinstate Respondent's license if it is  
21 automatically suspended for failure to comply with the education requirement, Respondent must  
22 provide BREA with the following: (i) satisfactory verification of the completion of the education  
23 course or courses imposed; (ii) completion and filing of a reinstatement application; and (iii)  
24 payment of all applicable fees, fines, or penalties.

25           **10. 30-Hour Basic Appraisal Procedures.** Respondent shall take a BREA approved  
26 minimum 30-hour education course on Basic Appraisal Procedures within twelve (12) months of  
27 the effective date the Decision and Order. Respondent must submit proof of successful  
28 completion of the course within twelve (12) months following the effective date of the Decision

1 and Order. Respondent understands that it is his responsibility to ensure that the course meets all  
2 of the requirements listed above and to make all necessary and preparatory arrangements to take  
3 the course. Educational courses imposed as a term or condition of probation by the Chief of  
4 BREA may not be credited toward Respondent's continuing education requirements required for  
5 renewal of Respondent's real estate appraiser license. Failure to comply with the education  
6 requirements as contained in this Disciplinary Order shall result in an automatic suspension of  
7 Respondent's real estate appraiser license. In order to reinstate Respondent's license if it is  
8 automatically suspended for failure to comply with the education requirement, Respondent must  
9 provide BREA with the following: (i) satisfactory verification of the completion of the education  
10 course or courses imposed; (ii) completion and filing of a reinstatement application; and (iii)  
11 payment of all applicable fees, fines, or penalties.

12  
13 ACCEPTANCE

14 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement. I understand the stipulation and the  
15 effect it will have on my License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement voluntarily, knowingly,  
16 and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Bureau Chief.

17  
18 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_  
19 Eric T. McIntyre  
20 Respondent

21 ENDORSEMENT

22 The foregoing Stipulated Settlement is hereby respectfully submitted for the Bureau Chief's  
23 consideration.

24  
25  
26 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_  
27 Tinna Morlatt  
28 Deputy Bureau Chief of Enforcement  
Bureau of Real Estate Appraisers

\\\



1 **Exhibit A**

2 **Administrative Allegation of Violation - Case No. L20241014-01**

3  
4 On or about May 30, 2019, Respondent completed an appraisal of the property located at 15405  
5 River Road, Guerneville CA. The report contained certain errors and omissions in violation of  
USPAP as follows:

- 6 a) Respondent failed to accurately identify and report the physical characteristics of the  
7 Subject when he failed to accurately identify the number of structures and the utility of the  
8 structures on site. Specifically, Respondent reported the Subject was a 1,087 square foot  
9 one-unit residential structure with two bedrooms and one bathroom when the subject was  
S.R. 2-2(a)(iv));
- 10 b) Respondent failed to adequately develop and report Highest and Best Use (HBU) when he  
11 failed to develop the HBU based on correct property specifications and zoning and then  
12 failed to report the rationale for the HBU conclusion (S.R. 1-3(b) and S.R. 2-2(a)(xii));
- 13 c) Respondent failed to develop and report a credible Sales Comparison Approach (SCA)  
14 when he failed to identify comparable sales that were credible substitutes for the Subject’s  
15 mixed-use improvements and failed to summarize analysis and reasoning that would  
S.R. 1-4(a) and  
S.R. 2-2(a)(x)(5));
- 16 d) Respondent failed to develop and report a credible Cost Approach when he failed to  
17 develop a site value by a credible methodology, and failed to properly calculate and report  
18 external depreciation based on a busy road location (S.R. 1-4(b)(i)(iii), and  
S.R. 2-2 (a)(x)(5));
- 19 e) Respondent failed to determine perform and report the Scope of Work for this assignment  
20 when he failed to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics of the  
21 Subject to arrive at credible assignment results (Scope of Work Rule, S.R. 1-2(h) and  
S.R. 2-2 (a)(viii));
- 22 f) Respondent failed to maintain a workfile for the appraisal assignment that included all  
23 true copies of all written reports and all data, information, and documentation necessary to  
24 support Respondent’s opinions, conclusions, and to show compliance with USPAP  
(Record Keeping Rule);
- 25 g) Based on findings a through f above, Respondent failed to correctly employ recognized  
26 methods and techniques to produce a credible appraisal (S.R. 1-1(a));
- 27 h) Based on findings a through f above, Respondent did commit a substantial error of  
28 omission or commission that significantly affected the appraisal (S.R. 1-1(b));

27 \\\  
28 \\\

- 1 i) Based on findings a through f above, Respondent failed to set forth the appraisal clearly  
2 and accurately in a manner that was not misleading (S.R. 2-1(a));
- 3 j) Based on findings a through f above, Respondent did not produce an appraisals report that  
4 contained sufficient information to enable the intended user of the appraisal to understand  
5 the report properly (S.R. 2-1(b)); and
- 6 k) Based on findings a through j above, Respondent’s appraisal practices represented an  
7 extreme departure from the minimum standards promulgated by the 2021 edition of the  
8 USPAP, and, as such represented Gross Negligence (Conduct section of the Ethics Rule).

9 On or about March 10, 2022, Respondent completed an appraisal of the property located at 15405  
10 River Road, Guerneville CA. The report contained certain errors and omissions in violation of  
11 USPAP as follows:

- 12 a) Respondent failed to accurately identify and report the physical characteristics of the  
13 Subject when he failed to accurately identify the number of structures and the utility of the  
14 structures on site. Specifically, Respondent reported the Subject was a 2,239 square foot  
15 one-unit residential structure with two bedrooms and one bathroom when the subject was  
16 a two-unit mix use property with a 1,152 square foot store/café’ and a 1,087 square foot  
17 residential structure with two bedrooms and one bathroom. Respondent also failed to  
18 identify and report the legal characteristics of the Subject when he reported an incorrect  
19 zoning (S.R. 1-2(e)(i) and S.R. 2-2(a)(iv));
- 20 b) Respondent failed to adequately develop and report HBU when he failed to develop the  
21 HBU based on correct property specifications and zoning and then failed to report the  
22 rationale for the HBU conclusion (S.R. 1-3(b) and S.R. 2-2(a)(xii));
- 23 c) Respondent failed to develop and report a credible Sales Comparison Approach (SCA)  
24 when he failed to identify comparable sales that were credible substitutes for the Subject’s  
25 mixed-use improvements and failed to summarize analysis and reasoning that would  
26 support the opinion of value of the Subject’s mixed-use improvements (S.R. 1-4(a) and  
27 S.R. 2-2(a)(x)(5));
- 28 d) Respondent failed to develop and report a credible Cost Approach when he failed to report  
a site value, failed to develop and report a credible estimation of the cost to reproduce or  
replace the Subject’s improvements, and failed to properly calculate and report external  
depreciation based on a busy road location (S.R. 1-4(b)(i)(ii)(iii), S.R. 2-2 (a)(x)(4), and  
S.R. 2-2 (a)(x)(5));
- e) Respondent failed to reconcile the quality and quantity of data used within the SCA and  
Cost Approach to value when he failed to provide statements that spoke to the quality and  
quantity of data used within the approaches to value (S.R. 1-6(a) and S.R. 2-2(a)(x)(5));
- f) Respondent failed to determine perform and report the Scope of Work for this assignment  
when he failed to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics of the  
Subject to arrive at credible assignment results (Scope of Work Rule, S.R. 1-2(h) and  
S.R. 2-2 (a)(viii));

\\ \

- 1 g) Respondent failed to identify the appraisal reporting option, failed to disclose prior  
2 services, and failed to provide the exposure time for the Subject when he included, but  
3 failed to fill out the USPAP addendum in the appraisal report, and when he failed to  
4 disclose a prior service at the Subject that did occur within the 3 years preceding this  
5 appraisal (S.R. 2-2, Conduct section of the Ethics Rule, S.R. 1-2(c)(iv), and  
6 S.R. 2-2(a)(iv));
- 7 h) Respondent failed to maintain a workfile for the appraisal assignment that included all  
8 true copies of all written reports and all data, information, and documentation necessary to  
9 support Respondent’s opinions, conclusions, and to show compliance with USPAP  
10 (Record Keeping Rule);
- 11 i) Based on findings a through h above, Respondent failed to correctly employ recognized  
12 methods and techniques to produce a credible appraisal (S.R. 1-1(a));
- 13 j) Based on findings a through h above, Respondent did commit a substantial error of  
14 omission or commission that significantly affected the appraisal (S.R. 1-1(b));
- 15 k) Based on findings a through h above, Respondent failed to set forth the appraisal clearly  
16 and accurately in a manner that was not misleading (S.R. 2-1(a));
- 17 l) Based on findings a through h above, Respondent did not produce an appraisals report that  
18 contained sufficient information to enable the intended user of the appraisal to understand  
19 the report properly (S.R. 2-1(b)); and
- 20 m) Based on findings a through l above, Respondent’s appraisal practices represented an  
21 extreme departure from the minimum standards promulgated by the 2021 edition of the  
22 USPAP, and, as such represented Gross Negligence (Conduct section of the Ethics Rule)

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\

26 \\\

27 \\\

28 \\\